



Sh Ravinder Mahajan,
F9/876, Guru Nanak Nagar,
Gali NO-2, Batala Road,
Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o CMO, Near Old Courts,
Opp SSP Residence, Civil Lines.
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o CMO, Near Old Courts,
Opp SSP Residence, Civil Lines.
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4375 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Ravinder Mahajan for the Appellant
Dr.Vivek for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 04.06.2021 has sought information regarding action taken on the medical bill of Smt.Raj Rani Mother of Ravinder Mahajan submitted by RPFC Ludhiana vide letter dated 09.09.2020 and on the reminders sent in Oct.2020, Nov.2020 & Dec.2020, as well as a reminder of RPFC Ludhiana, dated 08.04.2021 alongwith the name of persons responsible for negligence as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of CMO, Near Old Courts, Opp SSP Residence, Civil Lines, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 16.07.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 11.05.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/ Amritsar. As per the appellant, the PIO had not supplied the information.

The respondent present pleaded that the medical bill of Smt Raj Rani was received in their office vide diary No.406 which after checking (with some objection) was sent back to their office vide letter No.1400 dated 30.07.2021 and the reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 02.08.2021.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to reconcile and sort out the matter and provide complete detail/status of action taken in the case as discussed during the hearing.

The information was to be provided within 15 days of the receipt of the order with a copy to the Commission.

Hearing dated 14.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar/ Ludhiana. As per respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant.

As per appellant, the information is incomplete.

Appeal Case No. 4375 of 2021

The respondent present informed that as per record, the medical bill of the appellant was again received on 10.09.2021 which was sent to Director Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh on 06.04.2022.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and no further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Tejinder Singh,
Civil Court, Tehsil Complex,
Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Food Safety Officer,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Health Officer,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4131 of 2021

PRESENT: **None for the Appellant**

Ms.Kamaldeep Kaur, Food Safety Officer for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 15.04.2021 has sought information on 07 points regarding the number of contractors in the Amritsar district which are registered and have deposited requisite fee – details of petrol/diesel provided to Food Safety officer for the vehicle provided during collection samples – details of the amount released to food operators against their goods during sample from 2018 – instructions to collect samples by food safety officer etc. as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Food Safety Officer, Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 31.05.2021 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the Food Safety Officer Amritsar-3 & Amritsar-5 sent a reply to the appellant vide letter dated Nil to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2nd appeal in the Commission.

The case first came up for hearing ON 11.05.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Amritsar. Both the parties were absent.

There has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information. The Commission having taken a serious view of this issued a **show cause notice to the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time and directed to file reply on an affidavit.** The PIO was again directed to provide complete information to the appellant within 15 days and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Hearing dated 14.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant has acknowledged having received the information. He PIO has also sent a copy of affidavit in reply to the show cause notice which has been taken on record.

The appellant is absent. The appellant in the acknowledgement has stated that he has received the information and his appeal case may be closed.

Since the information has been provided and the appellant does not want to pursue the case further, no further interference of the Commission is required.

The show cause is dropped and the **case is closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Prabhjot Singh, S/o Sh Satpal Singh,
R/o C/o Anand Agriculture,
Opp J.C Motors, 100 Feet Road,
Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DCP,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Amritsar.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 178 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Prem Singh, SI for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 13.09.2021 has sought information regarding the enquiry report of police and post mortem report of the person who died due to electric current from the PSPCL transformer near Factory Baring Corporation in Anand as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of DCP Amritsar. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 04.10.2021, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.10.2021 which disposed of the appeal on 08.11.2021 stating that since the information is 3rd party, it cannot be provided under section 11 of the RTI Act.

The case last came up for hearing on 27.06.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that since the information is 3rd party, it cannot be provided under section 11 of the RTI Act. The Commission also received a reply from the PIO on 24.06.2022 which was taken on record.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission rejected the PIO's reply since section 11 of the RTI Act cannot be applied to deceased persons. How can third-party notices be issued to deceased persons? Moreover, the report of an unidentified deceased person does not remain personal or a third party.

The PIO had brazenly applied section 11 without application of mind. The PIO was directed to provide information to the appellant as per the RTI application within ten days of the receipt of the order with a copy to the Commission.

Hearing dated 14.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that in compliance with the order of the Commission, the complete information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant has acknowledged having received the information. The respondent has sent a copy of letter dated 20.07.2022 containing acknowledgement of the appellant that the appellant has received the information as per the RTI application.

The appellant is absent.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Sh Ramesh Kumar, S/o Sh Amar Nath,
H NO-U-1652, Sadar Nagar,
Opp Old Central Jail, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP, Rural,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SSP, Rural,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4403 of 2021

Present: None for the Appellant
Sh.Manjeet Singh, ASI for the Respondent

Order:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 01.08.2021 has sought information regarding copy of relevant rule/act/order/instruction/notification of code of criminal procedure, which vests with the powers of the Director BOI, Pb & IGP Border Range, Amritsar to order re-investigation/re-enquiry in a registered FIR where investigation has been done – rule giving powers to police to conduct reinvestigation where accused are declared PO and challan has been called by the court – a copy of the rule to submit cancellation report to court etc as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Rural Amritsar. The appellant was not provided the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.08.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 12.04.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The Commission received a reply of the PIO through email, which was taken on record. It was mentioned in the reply that this case relates to FIR No.83/18 dated 16.08.2018 Police Station Raja Sansi, wherein the appellant had filed a complaint on 06.09.2018 with IGP Border Range, the enquiry of which was conducted by SP(D) Amritsar on the basis of that enquiry, the complaint was found correct. Thereafter, the opposite party filed a request on 19.04.2019 to IGP expressing dissatisfaction on the enquiry which was marked to SSP Rural who further marked it to SP(HQ) Amritsar Rural for enquiry. After conducting enquiry, it was concluded that the case was wrongly filed and recommended for cancellation of the FIR which after getting opinion of the DA(legal), the SSP Amritsar Rural granted approval for cancellation of the FIR. Thereafter, cancellation report was filed in the court of SDJM Ajnala. The SDJM ordered for reinvestigation which is pending with SHO Police Station Raja Sansi.

The appellant wanted a copy of that particular rule/notification based on which police can reinvestigate a case. The reply of the PIO that the case has been ordered for reinvestigation as per the orders of the SDJM was incorrect since the appellant was asking the police department for any rule, if it exists, whereby the police can order a reinvestigation.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to send an appropriate reply and provide whatever documentation is available on record. If no such rule exists, give in writing on an affidavit that no such document is available on record relating to this RTI application.

Appeal Case No. 4403 of 2021

Hearing dated 14.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar.

As per respondent, the complete information has been supplied to the appellant.

The appellant is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 12.09.2022, has informed that he has received the information and his appeal case be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 14.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Ramesh Kumar, S/o Sh Amar Nath,
H NO-U-1652, Sadar Nagar,
Opp Old Central Jail, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP, Rural,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SSP, Rural,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4402 of 2021

Present: None for the Appellant
Sh.Manjeet Singh, ASI for the Respondent

Order:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 01.08.2021, has sought information regarding a copy of the case diary on the reinvestigation report of Sh.Baljit Singh Sp(HQ) Amritsar relating to FIR No.83/18 – a copy of diary pertaining to a further enquiry conducted by Shalindra /Singh, SP (PB-1/OPS) as ordered vide dated 06.08.2020 – copy of notices issued to witnesses etc as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Rural Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.08.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 12.04.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The Commission received a reply of the PIO through email, which was taken on record. It was mentioned in the reply that this case relates to FIR No.83/18 dated 16.08.2018 Police Station Raja Sansi wherein the appellant had filed a complaint on 06.09.2018 with IGP Border Range, the enquiry of which was conducted by SP(D) Amritsar based on that enquiry, the complaint was found correct. After that, the opposite party filed a request on 19.04.2019 to IGP expressing dissatisfaction on the enquiry, which was marked to SSP Rural, who further marked it to SP(HQ) Amritsar Rural for enquiry. After conducting enquiry, it was concluded that the case was wrongly filed and recommended for cancellation of the FIR which after getting the opinion of the DA(legal), the SSP Amritsar Rural granted approval for cancellation of the FIR. After that, the cancellation report was filed in the court of SDJM Ajnala. The SDJM ordered for reinvestigation, which is pending with SHO Police Station Raja Sansi.

The respondent present further informed that the appellant wants zimney reports of the case, which cannot be provided.

The appellant informed that there had been an order of the court to supply zimnis in another case.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the appellant was directed to supply the same to the PIO with a copy to the Commission.

Appeal Case No. 4402 of 2021

Hearing dated 14.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar.

As per respondent, the complete information has been supplied to the appellant.

The appellant is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 12.09.2022, has informed that he has received the information and his appeal case be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 14.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Ramesh Kumar, S/o Sh Amar Nath,
H NO-U-1652, Sadar Nagar,
Opp Old Central Jail, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o IGP, Border Range,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o IGP, Border Range,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4401 of 2021

Present: None for the Appellant
Sh.Manjit Singh, ASI for the Respondent

Order:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 02.08.2021, has sought information regarding the rule/notification giving the powers to the police department to conduct reinvestigation once one investigation on the request accused has been conducted and the accused has been declared PO by the trial court – a copy of the document which describes that Sh.Manoj Kumar is a relative of the accused Vikram Sharma and Neeraj Sharma as mentioned in para 4 of Border range officer – complaint submitted in border range office based on which memo dated 07.12.2019 initiated for transfer of investigation etc as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of IGP Border Range Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.08.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 12.04.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The Commission received a reply of the PIO through email, which was taken on record. It was mentioned in the reply that this case relates to FIR No.83/18 dated 16.08.2018 Police Station Raja Sansi, wherein the appellant had filed a complaint on 06.09.2018 with IGP Border Range, the enquiry of which was conducted by SP(D) Amritsar based on that enquiry, and the complaint was found correct. After that, the opposite party filed a request on 19.04.2019 to IGP expressing dissatisfaction with the enquiry, which was marked to SSP Rural, who further marked it to SP(HQ) Amritsar Rural for enquiry. After conducting the enquiry, it was concluded that the case was wrongly filed and recommended for cancellation. After getting the opinion of the DA(legal), the SSP Amritsar Rural approved the cancellation of the FIR. After that, the cancellation report was filed in the court of SDJM Ajnala. The SDJM ordered reinvestigation, which is pending with SHO Police Station Raja Sansi.

The appellant was present and wanted a copy of that particular rule/notification based on which police can reinvestigate a case. The reply of the PIO that the case has been ordered for reinvestigation as per the orders of the SDJM was incorrect since the appellant was asking the police department for any rule, if it exists, whereby the police can order a reinvestigation.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to send an appropriate reply and provide whatever documentation is available on record. If no such rule exists, give in writing on an affidavit that no such document is available on record relating to this RTI application.

Hearing dated 14.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar.

As per respondent, the complete information has been supplied to the appellant.

The appellant is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 12.09.2022, has informed that he has received the information and his appeal case be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 14.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Ramesh Kumar, S/o Sh Amar Nath,
H NO-1652, Sardar Nagar, Opposite Central Jail,
Ajnala Road, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP, Rural,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SSP, Rural,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3651 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Manjeet Singh, ASI O/o SSP Amritsar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 02.10.2020 has sought information on 12 points regarding FIR no.83/18 dated 16.08.2018- enquiry report of the enquiry – a copy of rule for temporarily not implementing the findings of enquiry – the name of officer deputed for 2nd enquiry of Prince Kumar and Vishal Singh and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Amritsar(Rural). The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 28.10.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 06.05.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 15.03.2021, the PIO sent a reply, which was taken on the file of the Commission. In the reply, the PIO had stated that a re-enquiry was conducted by the then SP(HQ) Amritsar (R) who recommended the cancellation of the present case which was approved by the Director BOI. The Director BOI directed to proceed as per enquiry and the order of PO may be recalled by moving a separate application before the Hon'ble court. On the basis of that report, the case has been filed in the court of SDJM Ajnala for cancellation on 19.08.2020 and since the case is pending in the court, the information cannot be provided and the appellant has been asked to get the information from the concerned court.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission observed that the RTI application is not legible for the commission to take any decision. The appellant was directed to send a typed legible copy of the RTI application to the Commission for the perusal of the appeal case. A copy of the reply received from the PIO was sent to the appellant with the order.

On the date of the last hearing on **03.08.2021**, the appellant submitted a typed copy of the RTI application which has been taken on the file of the Commission. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The Commission received a reply from the PIO through email which was taken on the file of the Commission. In the reply, the PIO stated that the case has been filed in the court of SDJM Ajnala for cancellation on 19.08.2020 and the case is pending in the court, the information cannot be provided u/s 8(1)(g) of the RTI Act.

Having gone through the position of law on the matter, the police cannot deny the information simply on the ground that the cancellation report is presented in the court and the case is pending in the court or it does not have any case documents in its custody after submission of the report in the court. The PIO was directed to provide information to the appellant on all points of the RTI application as per the RTI Act and send a compliance report to the commission.

On the date of hearing on **10.11.2021**, the appellant claimed that despite the order of the Commission, the PIO had not supplied the information.

The respondent present reiterated his earlier plea that since the case has been filed in the court of SDJM Ajnala for cancellation on 19.08.2020 and the case is pending in the court, the information cannot be provided u/s 8(1)(g) of the RTI Act.

Since the order had already been passed, the PIO was given one last opportunity to comply with the order of the Commission and provide complete information to the appellant otherwise the Commission will be constrained to issue show cause as per provisions of section 20 of the RTI Act.

The case was marked to the SSP (Rural) Amritsar with the direction to ensure that the order of the Commission is complied with and the information is provided to the appellant as per the RTI application.

On the date of the hearing on **21.03.2022**, the respondent present pleaded that the information is ready and will be provided to the appellant within 2/3 days to which the appellant agreed on the same. The PIO was directed to provide information to the appellant as assured and send a compliance report to the Commission.

On the date of last hearing on **25.04.2022**, the respondent informed that the information has been provided.

As per the appellant, there were some discrepancies in the provided information.

The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO is directed to sort out the same.

Hearing dated 14.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar.

As per respondent, the complete information has been supplied to the appellant.

The appellant is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 12.09.2022, has informed that he has received the information and his appeal case be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 14.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Ms Kamaldeep Kaur, D/o Sh Pritpal Singh,
VPO Gurmtala, Near Govt Dispensary,
Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o NRI, Police Station,
Macleod Road, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o NRI Police Station,
Macleod Road, Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2842 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Jaswant Singh, HC Police Station(NRI), Amritsar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 13.03.2021 has sought information regarding a copy of complaint No.1828000 – statement of both parties – documents attached with a complaint by both parties – enquiry report and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of NRO Police Station Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 15.04.2021 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 14.12.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent present pleaded that they received the RTI application of the appellant for seeking information relating to the complaint UID No.1828000 dated 15.07.2020 and since the information relates to the PIO-cum-ADGP(NRI Wing, SAS Nagar, Mohali, the RTI application was sent to them vide letter dated 22.04.2021 and the appellant was informed of the same telephonically.

The PIO-ADGP, SAS Nagar, Mohali was impleaded in the case and directed to look at the RTI application forwarded by Police Station-NRI Amritsar vide letter dated 22.04.2021 and provide the information to the appellant as per the provisions of the RTI Act..

On the date of last hearing on **25.04.2022**, as per the appellant, the PIO had not supplied the information.

As per the respondent, the available information had been supplied by the office of ADGP(NRI Wing) Mohali on 07.02.2022.

Since the appellant claimed to had not been provided with the information, the PIO-AIG(NRI Wing)-Phase-VII SAS Nagar was directed to reconcile the RTI application and provide information to the appellant whatever is available in the record.

Hearing dated 14.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 10.06.2020 and the appellant has acknowledged having received the information. The respondent has also sent a copy of acknowledgement of the appellant which has been taken on record.

Appeal Case No. 2842 of 2021

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh
Dated: 14.09.2022**

**Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission**

**CC to 1. PIO-ADGP(NRI Wing)
Phase-VII, SAS Nagar, Mohali**

**2. PIO-cum-AIG(NRI Wing)
Phase-VII, SAS Nagar, Mohali**



Ms Kamaldeep Kaur, D/o Sh Pritpal Singh,
VPO Gurmatala, Near Govt Dispensary,
Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o NRI, Police Station,
Macleod Road, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o NRI Police Station,
Macleod Road, Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2844 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant

Sh.Jaswant Singh, HC Police Station(NRI), Amritsar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 13.03.2021 has sought information regarding complaint No.1828000 dated 15.07.2020 – a copy of the agreement of Balraj Kaur with Gurmeet Singh – a copy of panchayat resolution and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of NRO Police Station Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 15.04.2021 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 14.12.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The appellant claimed that the PIO had not supplied the information.

The respondent present pleaded that they received the RTI application of the appellant for seeking information relating to the complaint UID No.1828000 dated 15.07.2020 and since the information relates to the PIO-cum-ADGP(NRI Wing), SAS Nagar, Mohali, the RTI application was sent to them vide letter dated 22.04.2021 and the appellant was informed of the same telephonically.

The PIO-ADGP(NRI Wing), SAS Nagar, Mohali was impleaded in the case and directed to look at the RTI application forwarded by Police Station-NRI Amritsar vide letter dated 22.04.2021 and provide the information to the appellant.

On the date of last hearing on **25.04.2022**, as per the appellant, the information was supplied by the PIO.

As per the respondent, the available information had been supplied by the office of ADGP(NRI Wing) Mohali on 07.02.2022.

Since the appellant claimed to had not been provided with the information, the PIO-AIG(NRI Wing)-Phase-VII SAS Nagar was directed to reconcile the RTI application and provide information to the appellant whatever is available in the record.

Hearing dated 14.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 10.06.2020 and the appellant has acknowledged having received the information. The respondent has also sent a copy of acknowledgement of the appellant which has been taken on record.

Appeal Case No. 2844 of 2021

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

CC to 1. PIO-ADGP(NRI Wing)
Phase-VII, SAS Nagar, Mohali

2. PIO-cum-AIG(NRI Wing)
Phase-VII, SAS Nagar, Mohali.



Sh Ved Parkash,
R/o D/112, Street NO-4,
Inderpuri, Kot Khalsa,
Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DCP,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Police Commissioner,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2883 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Ved Parkash as the Appellant
Sh.Prem Singh, Sub Inspector for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 23.12.2020 has sought information regarding electric connection provided in all police stations under Punjab Police Amritsar – name and place of electric connection – penalty imposed by Punjab Power Corporation in the office/police stations of Punjab Police Amritsar from 01.06.2020 to 31.07.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DCP Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 15.03.2021 which disposed of the appeal on 06.04.2021.

The case first came up for hearing on 14.12.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The appellant claimed that the PIO had not supplied the information.

The respondent present pleaded that since the appellant had asked for information relating to electric connections installed in all offices, police chowkis and police stations coming under Punjab Police Amritsar which is not specific and has to be created, it cannot be provided as it does not come under section 2(f) of the RTI Act. The Commission also received a reply from the PIO on 11.10.2021 which was taken on the file of the Commission.

The case was marked to the Commissioner Police for reconciliation.

On the date of last hearing on **25.04.2022**, the respondent present pleaded that the information (i.e. copies of electricity bills relating to offices and police stations available with the account branch) has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 22.04.2022. The respondent further informed that there is no record of a fine imposed by Power Corporation to the office/police stations of Punjab Police regarding point-2.

The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies in the provided information regarding point-1 and the PIO to sort out the same. Regarding point-2, if the information is not available, the PIO to give a reply on an affidavit regarding the information sought.

Hearing dated 14.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. As per the respondent, the discrepancies relating to point-1 have been sorted out and an affidavit regarding information on point-2 has been provided to the appellant with a copy to the Commission through email.

The appellant has received the information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission